UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,981	04/17/2006	Vincent Jemelin	19724	8604
SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER, P.C. 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			EXAMINER	
			AUGHENBAUGH, WALTER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1782	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/575,981	JEMELIN, VINCENT	
	Art Unit	
Walter Aughenbaugh	1782	
	_	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Rev	riew filed 14 October 2010.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is improper ar reason(s):</li> </ol>	nd a conference will not be held for the following
<ul> <li>☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concur</li> <li>☐ The request does not include reasons why a re</li> <li>☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre</li> <li>☐ Other:</li> </ul>	view is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Not	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interf held. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decrunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whiche appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based up of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	ere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant a 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal cision, or the balance of the two-month time period ver is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
The panel has determined the status of the clack Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	aim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits renapplicant at this time.	
4. <b>⊠ Reopen Prosecution</b> – A conference has been haction will be mailed. No further action is required by a	
All participants:	
(1) <u>BENJAMIN UTECH</u> .	(3)Walter B. Aughenbaugh.
(2) <u>Rena Dye</u> .	(4)
/Benjamin L. Utech/ Primary Examiner	